Tetrahedron Letters No.49, pp. 5131-5134, 1968. Pergamon Press. Printed in Great Britain.

THE ROLE OF THE "BASICITY" AND OF THE EXTRACHROMOPHORIC CONJUGATION IN THE ABSORPTION OF SYMMETRICAL TRIMETHINE CYANINES DERIVED FROM THIAZOLE NUCLEI

Alberto Gasco, Ermanno Barni and Gaetano Di Modica

Istituto Chimico della Università, Torino (Italia)

(Received in UK 5 August 1968; accepted for publication 12 September 1968)

A symmetrical cyanine dye is formed by vinylene prolongation of the amidinium ion system in which nitrogen atoms form part of equal heterocyclic

rings:

The qualitative explanation of the absorption of these dyes in terms of molecular structure was performed by L.G.S. Brooker and his co-workers (1,3).

According to the point of view of the Authors above the  $\lambda_{\max}$  of a symmetrical cyanine mainly depends on three factors: 1) Length of the conjugated chain, 2) Basicity of the nuclei, 3) Extrachromophoric conjugation.

The influence of the length of the polymethine chain was studied also with the aid of quantum methods (2) but the influence of the factors 2) and 3) was investigated only qualitatively (1, 3).

In order to evaluate the relative importance of the last two factors on the position of the absorption of some symmetrical cyanines derived from the following condensed thiazole nuclei:  $2-CH_3$ -thiazolium,  $2-CH_3$ -benzothiazolium,  $2-CH_3$ -naphtho[2,3-d] thiazolium,  $2-CH_3$ -naphtho [1,2-d] thiazolium,  $2-CH_3$ -naphtho [2,1-d] thiazolium,  $2-CH_3$ -phenanthro[9, 10-d] thiazolium (see Table I), some correlations were performed.

The basicity of the thiazole nucleus is related, according to L.G.S.. Brocker, to the loss of ring stabilization in passing from the positively charged form I to the net uncharged form II:



The same loss in stabilization follows the formation of the methyle ne base from the corresponding cation (4):



Using a simple HMO method, the energy difference  $\Delta E_{b}$  between quaternary salts of the 2 methyl derivatives above and their methylene bases, was calculated. The coulomb and resonance integrals used for the heteroatoms were:  $\alpha_{S} = \alpha + \beta$ ,  $\alpha_{ii} = \alpha + 1.5\beta$ ,  $\alpha_{+} = \alpha + 2\beta$ ,  $\beta_{C=5} = 0.8\beta$ ,  $\beta_{C-N} = 0.8\beta$ ,  $\beta_{C-N} = \beta_{S}$ The methyl group was treated as a heteroatom according to Streitwieser (5). Coulomb integrals for the carbon atoms adjacent to the heteroatoms were expressed in the following way:  $\alpha_{C=\alpha} + \phi_{C}\beta$  where  $\delta_{C=0} = 0.2 \delta_{X}$ . In particular, for the methyl group treated as a heteroatom, a value of  $\delta_{C-CH}$ . The substituent effects of the N-alkyl group were disregarded. The results of these calculations and the  $\Delta$  values of the deviations (3) for the nuclei considered are reported in Table I.

A plot of  $\Delta E_b$  values versus  $\Delta$  values is reported in Fig. 1. The satisfactory linear correlation between these sets of data is clear. It is interesting to note that, with the aid of the quantum methods also, the appreciably larger deviation of the 2-CH<sub>3</sub>-naphtho [1,2-d] thiazolium than the 2-CH<sub>3</sub>-naphtho [2,1-d] thiazolium (3) is not entirely explained.



TABLE I

| COMPOUNDS                   | ∆E <sup>1</sup> | Δ 2 | ∆E <sub>r</sub> ³ | $\lambda_{max}^4$ | Àmax <sup>5</sup><br>Ŷ |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| C-CH3                       | 4.2289          | 925 | 1.736 <b>6</b>    | 5410<br>18484     | 5435<br>18399          |
| S<br>S<br>C-CH <sub>3</sub> | 4.1592          | 555 | 3.5947            | 5565<br>17969     | 557.1<br>17949         |
| S<br>+<br>C-CH3             | 4.1379          | 365 | 5,2494            | 573.0<br>17452    | 579,2<br>17266         |
| N,-C-CH <sub>3</sub>        | 4.1759          | 720 | 5,309 <b>4</b>    | 599.0<br>16694    | 589.1<br>16975         |
| S-C-CH <sub>3</sub>         | 4.1724          | 650 | 5.3110            | 595.5<br>16792    | 588.3<br>16998         |
| S<br>C-CH3                  | 4.1872          | 745 | 7.1002            | 617.5<br>16194    | 625,0<br>15999         |

1) Loss of the energy ( $\beta$ ) in passing from the cation to the corresponding methylene base. 2) Deviation ; values taken from reference. (3)

- 3) Resonance energy (-, )
- 4)  $\lambda_{max}$  (m4) in M<sub>e</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> of the principal absorption of the symmetrical trimethinecyanine  $\hat{U}$  = wave number (cm-1) Values taken from reference. (3)
- 5)  $\lambda_{max}$  and  $\hat{\omega}$  calculated from equation (1).

The extension of the scope of the possible charge migration in the dye ion to carbon atoms of the rings lying beyond nitrogen atoms is called by L.G.S. Brooker extrachromophoric conjugation (1).

It appears reasonable to suppose that, for the nuclei of Table I, the extension of charge migration is proportional to the delocalization energy. The calculated delocalization energies are reported in Table I ( $\Delta E_Y$  values). If now  $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$  (see Table I) of the first absorption peak of trimethine symmetrical dyes derived from the reported quaternary salts is considered as a linear combination of  $\Delta E_b$  and  $\Delta E_f$  i.e.  $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ =  $\mathbf{a}$ + $\mathbf{b} \Delta E_b$ + $\mathbf{c} \Delta E_F$  a rather positive regression surface is obtained. The values of  $\mathbf{a}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{c}$  were calculated with the usual methods (6) giving  $\hat{\mathbf{v}} = 48398 - 6888\Delta E_b - 501\Delta E_F$  (R = 0.972) 1). The  $\lambda_{max}$  calculated from equation 1) are satisfactorily in agreement with experimental  $\lambda_{max}$  values (see Table I and Fig. 2).

It can be deduced from equation 1) that the susceptibility of  $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$  to the extrachromophoric conjugation and to the "basicity" are in the ratio 1:10.

Financial support for this work was obtained from Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche.

## REFERENCES

(1) L.G.S. Brooker and W.T. Simpson, Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem., 2, 121 (1951).

- (2) H.Kuhn, <u>Helv. Chim. Acta</u>, <u>31</u>, 1441 (1948); <u>J. Chem. Phys.</u>, <u>16</u>, 840 (1948); <u>J. Chem. Phys.</u>, <u>17</u>, 1198 (1949).
- (3) L.G.S. Brooker, A.L. Sklar, H.W.J. Cressman, G.H. Keyes, L.A. Smith, R.H. Sprague, E. Van Lare, G. Van Zandt, F.L. White and W.W. Williams, J. Am. <u>Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>67</u>, 1875 (1945) and other papers of this series.
- (4) L.G.S. Brooker, S.G. Dent Jr., D.V. Heseltine and E. Van Lare, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 75, 4335 (1953).
- (5) A. Streitwieser Jr., Molecular Orbital Theory for Organic Chemists, Wiley, New York-London (1961).
- (6) G.W. Snedecor, Statistical Methods, 4th. Edition, Iowa State College Press, Ames, Iowa (1946).